UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/659,760             | 09/10/2003                 | Thomas L. C. Simpson | EIS-6066 (1417G P 921) | 4834             |  |
| 29200<br>K&L Gates LLI | 7590 07/22/200<br><b>P</b> | 9                    | EXAMINER               |                  |  |
| P.O. Box 1135          | 500 1125                   |                      | NGUYEN, HIEP VAN       |                  |  |
| Chicago, IL 606        | )90-1133                   |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                        |                            |                      | 3686                   |                  |  |
|                        |                            |                      |                        |                  |  |
|                        |                            |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE      | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                        |                            |                      | 07/22/2009             | ELECTRONIC       |  |

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

chicago.patents@klgates.com

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |     |
|---|--|---|-----|
|   | 10/659,760   | SIMPSON ET AL.  |     |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |     |
|   | HIEP NGUYEN  | 3686  |     |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a<br>Period for Reply  | appears on the cover sheet w   | ith the correspondence address  |     |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUN<br>1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a<br>iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO<br>tute, cause the application to become A | CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |     |
| Status  |  |   |     |
| 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ T  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under   | his action is non-final. wance except for formal mat   |   | S   |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |     |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-58 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exameration and application Papers   | drawn from consideration.  |   |     |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt The oath or declaration is objected to by the   | accepted or b) objected to<br>the drawing(s) be held in abeya<br>rection is required if the drawing  | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d  | d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |     |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreit a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:      1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documed a. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documed a. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burnets * See the attached detailed Office action for a light sequence.   | ents have been received.<br>ents have been received in <i>i</i><br>riority documents have beer<br>eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).                              | Application No  received in this National Stage   |     |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | Paper No   | Summary (PTO-413)<br>s)/Mail Date<br>nformal Patent Application<br>   |     |

Art Unit: 3686 Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1- 58 have been examined. Claims 18, 30-33, and 41-43 have been amended. No new matter has been added.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/18/2009 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Causey, III et al. (US. 6,641,533.) in view of Goodman (US. 5,827,180).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 3

5. With respect to Claim 1, Causey, III et al. teaches a system for reporting on integrity of a wireless communication link within a healthcare facility comprising:

a module associated with a medication treatment application device, the module having a status information output responsive to a signal output generated by the medication treatment application device ('533; Col./line 2/25-3/10; Col. 8, lines 30-37);

Causey, III et al. further discloses the communication between the medical device module and the infusion device is wireless, does not explicitly disclose a wireless remote device within the healthcare facility having a message indicator responsive to the status information output transmitted over the wireless communication link and representative of the signal generated by the medication treatment application device ('533; col./line 25/18-26/40).

Goodman discloses software installed on the wireless remote device having a time-out output ('180; Col. 5, lines 42-55; Col. 6, lines 32-42); wherein the time-out output indicates loss of the wireless communication link ('180; Col. 6, lines 32-42: the Examiner interprets time control signal by the wireless carrier as loss of the wireless communication link.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the capability to receive the patient treatment instruction displayed on the message device as taught by Goodman ('180; Abstract)

Art Unit: 3686 Page 4

using a medical device module as taught by Causey, III et al. ('533; Abstract) and the combination would have yielded predictable results.

Claims 18, 33, and 44 are rejected as the same reason with Claim 1.

6. With respect to Claim 2, the combined art teaches the system of claim 1.

Causey, III et al. discloses further wherein the association between the module and the medication treatment application device results in at least some data within the status information output passing through the module ('180; Col. /line 5/64-6/3.)

Claims 19 and 34 are rejected as the same reason with Claim 2.

7. With respect to Claim 3, the combined art teaches the system of claim 1.

Causey, III et al. discloses further wherein the medication treatment application device is an infusion pump for administering an infusion to a patient ('533; Col. 26, lines 25-40)

Claims 20 and 35 are rejected as the same reason with Claim 3.

8. With respect to Claim 4, the combined art teaches the system of claim 1.

Causey, III et al. discloses further wherein the output generated by the medication treatment device includes data related to an alarm condition ('533; Col. 13, lines 21-23.)

Art Unit: 3686 Page 5

Claim 21, 36, and 45 are rejected as the same reason with Claim 4.

With respect to Claim 5, the combined art teaches the system of claim 1.
 Goodman discloses further wherein the output generated by the medication
 treatment device includes data related to an alert condition ('180; Col. 6, lines 24-28.)

Claims 22, 37 and 46 are rejected as the same reason with Claim 5.

10. With respect to Claim 6, the combined art teaches the system of claim 1.

Causey, Illet al. discloses further wherein the output generated by the medication treatment device includes data related to an infusion volume rate ('533; Col. 15, lines 51-55).

Claims 23, 38 and 47 are rejected as the same reason with Claim 6.

11. With respect to Claim 7, the combined art does not discloses further wherein the output generated by the medication treatment device includes data related to time remaining before an infusion bag is emptied.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 6

However, official notice is taken that the time warning signal for fluid remaining in IV bag has been known as a basis for hospital nurses in removing of IV bag from the patient.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Causey, III et al./Goodman with the warning signal before an infusion bag emptied.

Claims 24, 39, and 48 are rejected as the same reason with claim 7.

12. With respect to Claim 8, the combined art teaches the system of claim 1.

Causey, III et al. discloses further wherein the wireless remote device is a personal digital assistant ('533; Col./line 25/31-26/40.)

Claims 41 and 49 are rejected as the same reason with Claim 8.

13. With respect to Claims 9, the combined art teaches the system of claim 1.

Causey, III et al. discloses further wherein the wireless communication link operates within a radio frequency ('533; Col. 19, lines 16-31).

Claims 25 and 50 are rejected as the same reason with Claim 9.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 7

14. With respect to Claims 10, 11, 12, the combined art does not disclose wherein the radio frequency is within the 2.4 gigahertz band, and within the 2.45 gigahertz band, and within the 5 gigahertz band.

However, Official notice is taken that said range of radio frequencies has been known as a basis for the application of PDA devices.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Reuss et al./Causey, III et al. related to frequency range of PDA devices.

Claims 26-28, 51-53 are rejected as the same reason with Claims 10, 11, 12.

15. With respect to Claim 13, the combined art teaches the system of claim 1.

Causey, III et al. discloses further wherein the message indicator is an audible alarm ('533; Col. 23, lines 12-24).

Claims 29 and 54 are rejected as the same reason with Claim 13.

16. With respect to Claim 14, the combined art teaches the system of claim 1.

Causey, III et al. discloses further wherein the message indicator is a visual display ('533; Col. 15, lines 43-65.)

Art Unit: 3686 Page 8

Claim 55 is rejected as the same reason with Claim 14.

17. With respect to Claim 15, the combined art teaches the system of claim 1.

Causey, III et al. discloses further wherein the audible alarm produces an audible sound in response to the time-out output ('533; Col./line 13/61-14/7.)

Claims 30, 40 and 56 are rejected as the same reason with Claim 15.

18. With respect to Claim 16, the combined art teaches the system of claim 14.

Causey et al. disclose further wherein an icon responsive to the time-out output is provided on the visual display ('533; Fig 24: time output).

Claims 31, 42 and 57 are rejected as the same reason with Claim 16.

19. With respect to Claim 17, the combined art teaches the system of claim 14.

Causey, III et al. discloses further wherein a pop-up window is provided on the visual display in response to the time-out output ('533, Figs. 24 pop-up window showing time).

Claim 32, 43 and 58 are rejected as the same reason with Claim 17.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 9

# Response to Arguments

20. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 18, 33 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

- 21. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HIEP NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 270-5211. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30AM-5:00PM.
- 23. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry O'Connor can be reached on (571) 272-6787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 10

24. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or (571) 272-1000.

/H. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 3686 July 15, 2009

> /Gerald J. O'Connor/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 3686